



**ASSOCIATION EUROPÉENNE DES MÉDECINS DES HÔPITAUX
EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION OF SENIOR HOSPITAL PHYSICIANS
EUROPÄISCHE VEREINIGUNG DER LEITENDEN KRANKENHAUSÄRZTE
EUROPESE VERENIGING VAN STAFARTSEN
DEN EUROPÆISKE OVERLÆGEFORENING
ΕΥΡΩΠΑΪΚΟΣ ΣΥΛΛΟΓΟΣ ΝΟΣΟΚΟΜΕΙΑΚΩΝ ΙΑΤΡΩΝ ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΤΩΝ
ASSOCIAZIONE EUROPEA DEI MEDICI OSPEDALIERI
DEN EUROPEISKE OVERLEGEFORENING
ASSOCIAÇÃO EUROPEIA DOS MÉDICOS HOSPITALARES
ASOCIACIÓN EUROPEA DE MÉDICOS DE HOSPITALES
EUROPEISKA ÖVERLÄKARFÖRENINGEN
EVROPSKO ZDRŽENJE BOLNIŠNIČNIH ZDRAVINIKOV
EUROPSKA ASOCIACIA NEMOCNICNÝCH LEKAROV
EUROPSKA UDRUGA BOLNIČKIH LIJEČNIKA
ΕΒΡΟΠΕΪΣΚΑ ΑΣΟΪΑΪΑΪΑ ΝΑ ΣΤΑΡΣΗΤΕ ΒΟΛΝΗϪΝΗϪ ΛΕΚΑΡΗ
ASOCIATIA EUROPEANA A MEDICILOR DIN SPITALE**

Document :	AEMH 12-066
Title:	Evaluation 1st Joint AEMH-FEMS Plenary Assembly Varna May 2012
Author :	AEMH European Liaison Office
Purpose :	Information
Distribution :	AEMH Member Delegations
Date :	9 September 2012

EVALUATION

1st Joint AEMH-FEMS Plenary Assembly 18-19 May 2012

Please indicate your affiliation

AEMH 15 + AEMH +FEMS 4

Do you consider the part "Internal Affairs" sufficiently covered ? YES 16 NO 3

Did the Joint Meeting enhance your knowledge on the sister organisation? YES 18 NO 1

Which part of the meeting was most valuable to you ?

EU affairs YES 11 NO

National Reports YES 11 NO

Working Groups YES 17 NO

Which topics were not sufficiently discussed ? CME, National Report too long(2), Working groups, Salaries (3), funding of healthcare (2), privatisation

How would you rate the meeting over all ?

9 Very good, 7 Good, 2 average, poor very poor

How would you rate the working group you attended

A. Prof. Qualification/ Competence 2 Very good, 7 Good, 1 average, poor 1 very poor

B. Task Shifting 3 Very good, 6 Good, average, poor very poor

C. Working Conditions 2 Very good, 5 Good, average, poor very poor

Strengths of the Meeting

Interaction between participants YES 16 -1- NO

Knowledge transfer YES 15 NO 3

Any other

Weaknesses of the Meeting

Lack of time YES 10 NO 6

Unbalanced repartition of tasks between the two organisations YES 4 NO 10

Any other too many items, too many documents, lack of time

Do you think that the focus of the 2 organisations are

Identical YES 6 NO 7

Similar, but approached differently
Complementary

YES 9
YES 9

NO 1
NO 1

Do you think that the collaboration between the 2 organisations should be enhanced ?

YES 14 NO 4 Explain(different aims).....

Are you in favour of future Joint Meetings ?

YES 16 NO 2

If YES, what recommendations do you have for conducting future Joint Meetings ?

In favour of a merger in a couple of years (2x), less items or more time for discussion (2x), underline differences, distinction of trade unions and AEMH role, common statements, Frequency : not regularly, every 2 years (3x), every year (4x), never ever again. English only.

Complementary

YES 18

NO 2

Do you think that the collaboration between the 2 organisations should be enhanced ?

YES 20

NO **Explain***but attributes better defined*.....

Are you in favour of future Joint Meetings ?

YES 21

NO 2

If YES, what recommendations do you have for conducting future Joint Meetings ?

Clear attributes, 1 item with joint statement for lobbying, more time WG and discussion

Frequency: 6 months (4x), 1 ½ years, 2 years (3x)